



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,640	02/18/2000	Beat Laemmle	99P7475US01	8044
· 7590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER		
I Marc Asperas			HO, ANDY	
Siemens Corpo	oration	•		
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
186 Wood Avenue South			2194	
Iselin, NJ 08	830		DATE MAILED: 04/12/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/506,640	LAEMMLE ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andy Ho	2194		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress	
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thing: (1) a timely filed amendmoneal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper repent which places the application	ly to a ation in	
PERIOD FOI	R REPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents of the control of	this Advisory Action, or (2) the dat spire later than SIX MONTHS from WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT The date on which the petition un griod of extension and the correspond to of the shortened statutory period to Office later than three months aft	the mailing date of the final reject THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app nding amount of the fee. The app d for reply originally set in the fina	cion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or si	implifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected clain	าร.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

application in condition for allowance because:

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) rejected: 1-25,27,28,30-40,42,43 and 45-62. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

> MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

10. Other: ____

The applicant added new claims 59-62 and new limitations into claims 1, 13, 21, 36, 51... that were not claimed before: "a second operating system on a personal computer", "utilizing a moniker", "a running object table"... The new claims and new limitations would require further search and consideration..